

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

CHIEFTAIN ROYALTY COMPANY,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 6:17-cv-00336-KEW
)	
)	<i>(Removed from District Court of</i>
NEWFIELD EXPLORATION)	<i>Atoka County, State of Oklahoma,</i>
MID-CONTINENT INC.,)	<i>Case No. CJ-17-45)</i>
)	
Defendant.)	

**ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENT, CERTIFYING THE CLASS FOR SETTLEMENT PURPOSES,
APPROVING FORM AND MANNER OF NOTICE, AND SETTING DATE FOR FINAL
FAIRNESS HEARING**

This is a class action lawsuit brought by Plaintiff, Chieftain Royalty Company, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated (“Plaintiff”), against Newfield Exploration Mid-Continent Inc. (“Defendant”) for the alleged delayed payment of production proceeds to royalty owners and withholding of statutory interest. Specifically, Plaintiff alleges Defendant does not include the required statutory interest on late payments of oil and gas proceeds. Plaintiff further alleges when Defendant pays to the State of Oklahoma (or other states) oil and gas production proceeds as “unclaimed or abandoned property” that it does not include the statutory interest earned. On October 8, 2019, the Parties executed a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the “Settlement Agreement”) and the Supplemental Agreement finalizing the terms of the Settlement.¹ The Settlement Agreement, together with the documents referenced therein and exhibits thereto, sets forth the terms and conditions for the proposed Settlement of the Litigation. In accordance with

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Order shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

the Settlement Agreement, Plaintiff now presents the Settlement to the Court for preliminary approval under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

After reviewing the pleadings and Plaintiff's Motion to Certify the Class for Settlement Purposes, Preliminarily Approve Class Action Settlement, Approve Form and Manner of Notice, and Set Date for Final Fairness Hearing ("Motion for Preliminary Approval") and Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Support thereof, the Court has preliminarily considered the Settlement to determine, among other things, whether the Settlement warrants the issuance of notice to the Settlement Class. Upon reviewing the Settlement and the Motion for Preliminary Approval, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** as follows:

1. For purposes of this Order, the Court adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement Agreement unless otherwise defined herein.

2. The Court finds the Settlement Class should be certified for the purposes of this Settlement, as the Settlement Class meets all certification requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 for a settlement class.

The certified Settlement Class is defined as follows:

All non-excluded persons or entities:

- a. who received an Untimely Payment from Defendant between August 1, 2005 and May 31, 2019 for O&G Proceeds from Oklahoma Wells and whose payment(s) did not also include statutory interest prescribed by the PRSA; and/or
- b. whose O&G Proceeds were paid by Defendant to various state agencies between August 1, 2005 and May 31, 2019 as unclaimed or abandoned property without the payment of statutory interest prescribed by the PRSA.

The persons or entities excluded from the Class are: (1) agencies, departments, or instrumentalities of the United States of America or the State of Oklahoma; (2) Commissioners of the Land Office of the State of Oklahoma (CLO); (3) publicly traded oil and gas companies and their affiliates; (4) persons or entities (and their affiliates) who are the Oklahoma Corporation Commission (OCC) designated operator of more than fifty (50) Oklahoma wells in the month when this Litigation was originally filed (August 2017); (5) persons or entities that Plaintiff's counsel may be prohibited from representing under Rule

1.7 of the Oklahoma Rules of Professional Conduct including, but not limited to, Charles David Nutley, Danny George, Dan McClure, Kelly McClure Callant, William L. Galbreath, Verdeen L. Slatten, Jack A. Slatten, Verdeen L. Slatten Family Limited Partnership, Neva M. Dorman, Ann Ellis Boles, Fischer-Jones, LLC, B.N. Taliaferro, Jr. individually and as Trustee of the B. N. Taliaferro Management Trust, Jack B. Searle, Tamara D. Searle, OGI, Inc., and their relatives; (6) officers of the court; and (7) Owners who were not entitled to receive \$100 or more in O&G Proceeds from Defendant cumulatively in a 12-month period, provided, however, this exclusion of so-called “minimum pay” Owners does not apply to interest claims for other 12 month periods of Proceeds when the same Owner was entitled to \$100 or more and thus not in a “minimum pay” status.

3. The Court finds the above-defined Settlement Class satisfies all prerequisites of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) for purposes of the proposed class settlement:

a. Numerosity. Plaintiff has demonstrated “[t]he class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The Tenth Circuit has not adopted a set number as presumptively sufficient to meet this burden, and there is “no set formula to determine if the class is so numerous that it should be so certified.” *Trevizo v. Adams*, 455 F.3d 1155, 1162 (10th Cir. 2006). Whether a class satisfies the numerosity requirement is “a fact-specific inquiry” that district courts have “wide latitude” when determining. *In re Cox Enters., Inc.*, No. 12-ML-2048-C, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 2459, *13 (W.D. Okla. Jan. 9, 2014) (quoting *Trevizo*, 455 F.3d at 1162). Here, the Settlement Class consists of thousands of owners. Therefore, the Court finds the numerosity prerequisite is undoubtedly met.

b. Commonality. Plaintiff has also demonstrated “[t]here are questions of law or fact common to the class.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2).

c. Typicality. Plaintiff has also shown “[t]he claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3).

d. *Adequacy.* Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel have demonstrated "[t]he representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4).

In addition, because the Court finds Plaintiff and Plaintiff's Counsel to be adequate representatives of the Settlement Class, the Court hereby appoints Plaintiff as Class Representative; Plaintiff's Counsel, Barnes & Lewis LLP and Ryan Whaley Coldiron Jantzen Peters & Webber PLLC as Class Counsel; and Plaintiff's Counsel Whitten Burrage, and Lawrence R. Murphy, Jr., as liaison local counsel for the Settlement Class.

4. The Court also finds the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) are met:

a. *Predominance.* Class Representative has shown "questions of law or fact common to the members of the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).

b. *Superiority.* Class Representative has also established "that a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3).

In sum, the Court finds all prerequisites and requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)-(b) are satisfied, and the Settlement Class is hereby certified for the purposes of this Settlement. The Settlement Class is certified for settlement purposes only. In determining whether the requirements of Rule 23 have been satisfied for purposes of certifying the above class for settlement purposes, the Court has taken into account the fact of settlement and its impact upon the factors required for certification of the Settlement Class. Among other impacts of settlement, the Court need not inquire whether the case, if tried, would present intractable case management

problems, as the result of settlement is that there will be no trial. Because this case has been settled at this stage of the proceedings, the Court does not reach, and makes no ruling either way, as to the issue of whether the Settlement Class certified by agreement here for settlement purposes could have ever been certified in this case as a class for litigation purposes.

5. The Court preliminarily finds: (a) the proposed Settlement resulted from extensive arm's-length negotiations; (b) the proposed Settlement was agreed to only after Class Counsel had conducted legal research and discovery regarding the strengths and weakness of Class Representative's and the Settlement Class' claims; (c) Class Representative and Class Counsel have concluded that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; and (d) the proposed Settlement is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant sending notice of the proposed Settlement to the Settlement Class.

6. Having considered the essential terms of the Settlement under the recognized standards for preliminary approval as set forth in the relevant jurisprudence, the Court preliminarily approves the Settlement, subject to the right of any member of the Settlement Class to challenge the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of any part of the Settlement, Settlement Agreement, Allocation Methodology, or proposed Plan of Allocation or Final Plan of Allocation (or any other Plan of Allocation), and to show cause, if any exists, why a final Judgment dismissing the Litigation based on the Settlement Agreement should not be ordered after adequate notice to the Settlement Class has been given in conformity with this Order. As such, the Court finds that those Class Members whose claims would be settled, compromised, dismissed, and released pursuant to the Settlement should be given notice and an opportunity to be heard regarding final approval of the Settlement and other matters.

7. The Court further preliminarily approves the form and content of the proposed Notice and Summary Notice, which are attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibits 3 and 4, and finds the Notice and Summary Notice are the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive such notice, and fully satisfy the requirements of applicable laws, including due process and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. The Court finds the form and content of the Notice and Summary Notice fairly and adequately: (a) describe the terms and effect of the Settlement; (b) notify the Settlement Class of the time and place of the Final Fairness Hearing; (c) describe the options for requesting exclusion from the Settlement or objecting to the Settlement or any part thereof; and (d) direct potential Class Members to where they may obtain more detailed information about the Settlement.

8. The Court also preliminarily approves the proposed manner of communicating the Notice and Summary Notice to the Settlement Class, as set out below, and finds it is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitutes due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive such notice, and fully satisfies the requirements of applicable Constitutional standards and other applicable laws, including due process and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23:

a. No later than January 6, 2020, the Settlement Administrator will mail (or cause to be mailed) the Notice by first class mail to all potential Class Members who have been identified after reasonable efforts to do so. The Notice will be mailed to potential Class Members using the payment history data described in paragraph 3.3 of the Settlement Agreement, the last-known addresses for each payee, and any updated addresses found by the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator will also publish the Summary

Notice as described below. It is not reasonable or economically practical for the Parties to do more to determine the names and addresses of Class Members.

b. No later than January 6, 2020, or at such time as is ordered by the Court, the Settlement Administrator also shall publish (or cause to be published) the Summary Notice one time in each of the following newspapers: (a) *The Oklahoman*, a paper of general circulation in Oklahoma; (b) the *Tulsa World*, a paper of general circulation in Oklahoma; (c) *The Daily Ardmoreite*, a paper of local circulation; (d) the *Fairview Republican*, a paper of local circulation; (e) the *McAlester News-Capital*, a paper of local circulation; and (f) the *Holdenville Tribune*, a paper of local circulation.

c. Within ten (10) days after mailing the first notice and continuing through the Final Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator will also display (or cause to be displayed) on an Internet website dedicated to this Settlement the following documents: (i) the Summary Notice, (ii) the Complaint and Answer, (iii) the Settlement Agreement, (iv) this Order, (v) the Notice and (vi) other publicly filed documents related to the Settlement.

d. Upon request from a Class Member, the Settlement Administrator will directly mail a copy of the Notice to the Class Member.

e. The Gross Settlement Fund shall bear any Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs.

9. Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Settlement Class with respect to all acts required by, or which may be given pursuant to, the Settlement Agreement, or such other acts that are reasonably necessary to consummate the proposed Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

10. The Court appoints JND Legal Administration to act as Settlement Administrator and perform the associated responsibilities set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Administrator will receive and process any Requests for Exclusion and, if the Settlement is finally approved by the Court, will supervise and administer the Settlement in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, the Judgment, and the Court's Plan of Allocation order(s) authorizing distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Class Members. The Parties and their Counsel shall not be liable for any act or omission of the Settlement Administrator.

11. The Court appoints Signature Bank as the Escrow Agent. The Escrow Agent is authorized and directed to act in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, Supplemental Agreement, and Escrow Agreement. Except as set forth in paragraph 6.21 of the Settlement Agreement, the Parties and their Counsel shall not be liable for any act or omission of the Escrow Agent or loss for the funds in the Escrow Account.

12. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e), a Final Fairness Hearing shall be held on March 2, 2020 at 1:30 pm in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma, the Honorable Kimberly E. West, presiding, to, among other related matters:

- a. determine whether the Settlement should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class;
- b. determine whether the notice method utilized: (i) constituted the best practicable notice under the circumstances and applicable legal standards; (ii) constituted notice reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the Litigation, the Settlement, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement, their right to object to the Settlement, and their right to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing; (iii) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice

to all persons and entities entitled to such notice; and (iv) met applicable Constitutional standards and all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any other applicable law;

c. determine whether a final Judgment should be entered pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, *inter alia*, dismissing the Litigation against Defendant with prejudice and extinguishing, releasing, and barring all Released Claims against all Released Parties in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, and making the other findings and rulings provided therein, all in accordance with the Settlement Agreement;

d. determine the proper method of allocation and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund among participating Class Members who are not excluded from the Settlement Class by virtue of a timely and properly submitted Request for Exclusion or other order of the Court;

e. determine whether the applications for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, reimbursement for Litigation Expenses, and a Case Contribution Award to Class Representative are fair and reasonable and should be approved; and

f. rule on such other matters as the Court may deem appropriate.

13. The Court reserves the right to adjourn, continue, and reconvene the Final Fairness Hearing, or any aspect thereof, including the consideration for the application for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, without further notice to the Settlement Class. The Settlement Administrator will update the website maintained pursuant to paragraph 8(c) of this Order to reflect the current information about the date and time for the Final Fairness Hearing.

14. The Court reserves the right to continue the Final Fairness Hearing to a later date than the date provided for in the formal notices to the Settlement Class, and to approve the Settlement at or after the Final Fairness Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class.

15. Class Members wishing to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(4) must submit to the Settlement Administrator a valid and timely Request for Exclusion. Requests for Exclusion must include: (i) the Class Member's name, address, telephone number, and notarized signature; (ii) a statement that the Class Member wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class in *Chieftain Royalty Company v. Newfield Exploration Mid-Continent Inc.*; and (iii) a description of the Class Member's interest in any wells for which it has received payments from Defendant, including the name, well number, county in which the well is located, and the owner identification number. Requests for Exclusion must be served on the Settlement Administrator, Defendant's Counsel, and Plaintiff's Counsel by certified mail, return receipt requested and received no later than 5 p.m. CDT on February 17, 2020.

Requests for Exclusion may be mailed as follows:

Settlement Administrator:

Chieftain-Newfield Settlement
c/o JND Legal Administration, Settlement Administrator
P.O. Box 91308
Seattle, WA 98111

Plaintiff's Counsel:

Patrick M. Ryan
Phillip G. Whaley
Jason A. Ryan
Paula M. Jantzen
RYAN WHALEY COLDIRON
JANTZEN PETERS & WEBBER PLLC
900 Robinson Renaissance
119 North Robinson
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Robert N. Barnes
Patranell Lewis
Emily Nash Kitch
BARNES & LEWIS, LLP
208 N.W. 60th Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Michael Burrage
WHITTEN BURRAGE
512 N. Broadway Ave., Ste. 300
Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Lawrence R. Murphy, Jr.
611 S. Detroit Ave.
Tulsa, OK 74120

Defendant's Counsel:

Collin J. Cox
Robert D. Woods
YETTER COLEMAN LLP
811 Main Street, Suite 4100
Houston, Texas 77002

Timothy J. Bomhoff
Jodi C. Cole
MCAFEE & TAFT,
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
Two Leadership Square, Tenth Floor
211 N. Robinson Street
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102-7103

Requests for Exclusion may not be submitted through the website or by telephone, facsimile, or e-mail. Any Class Member that has not timely and properly submitted a Request for Exclusion shall be included in the Settlement and shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement in the event it is finally approved by the Court.

16. Any participating Class Member who wishes to object to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement, any term of the Settlement, the Allocation

Methodology, the Initial Plan of Allocation, the request for Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses, or the request for a Case Contribution Award to Class Representative may file an objection. An objector must file with the Court and serve upon Plaintiff's Counsel and Defendant's Counsel a written objection containing the following: (a) a heading referring to *Chieftain Royalty Company v. Newfield Exploration Mid-Continent Inc.*, Case No. 6:17-cv-00336-KEW, and to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma; (b) a statement as to whether the objector intends to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing, either in person or through counsel, and, if through counsel, identifying counsel by name, address and telephone number; (c) a detailed statement of the specific legal and factual basis for each and every objection; (d) a list of any witnesses the objector wishes to call at the Final Fairness Hearing, together with a brief summary of each witness's expected testimony (to the extent the objector desires to offer expert testimony and/or an expert report, any such evidence must fully comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, and the Local Rules of the Court); (e) a list of and copies of any exhibits the objector may seek to use at the Final Fairness Hearing; (f) a list of any legal authority the objector may present at the Final Fairness Hearing; (g) the objector's name, current address, current telephone number, and all owner identification numbers with Defendant; (h) the objector's signature executed before a Notary Public; (i) identification of the objector's interest in wells from which the objector has received payments by or on behalf of Defendant (by well name, payee well number, and county in which the well is located) during the Claim Period and identification of such payments by date of payment, date of production, and amount; and (j) if the objector is objecting to any portion of the Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees or Litigation Expenses sought by Plaintiff's Counsel on the basis that the amounts requested are unreasonably high, the objector must specifically state the portion of Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees

and/or Litigation Expenses he/she believes is fair and reasonable and the portion that is not. Such written objections must be filed with the Court and served on Plaintiff's Counsel and Defendant's Counsel, via certified mail return receipt requested, and received no later than 5 p.m. CDT on February 17, 2020 at the addresses set forth in paragraph 15 above.

Any Class Member who fails to timely file and serve such written statement and provide the required information will not be permitted to present any objections at the Final Fairness Hearing and such failure will render any such attempted objection untimely and of no effect. All presentations of objections will be further limited by the information listed. Either or both Party's counsel may file any reply or response to any objections no later than February 24, 2020. The procedures set forth in this paragraph do not supplant, but are in addition to, any procedures required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

17. Any objector who timely files and serves a valid written objection in accordance with the above paragraph may also appear at the Final Fairness Hearing, either in person or through qualified counsel retained at the objector's expense. Objectors or their attorneys intending to present any objection at the Final Fairness Hearing must comply with the Local Rules of this Court in addition to the requirements set forth in paragraph 16 above.

18. No later than 5:00 p.m. CDT on February 3, 2020, if the Settlement has not been terminated pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or Supplemental Agreement, Plaintiff's Counsel and Plaintiff shall move for: (a) final approval of the Settlement pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e); (b) entry of a Judgment in substantially the same form as Exhibit 2 to the Settlement Agreement; (c) final approval of the Allocation Methodology and Initial Plan of Allocation; and (d) Plaintiff's Attorneys' Fees, reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and/or a Case Contribution Award.

19. If the Settlement is not approved by the Court, is terminated in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement or Supplemental Agreement, or a Judgment approving it is entered that does not become Final and Non-Appealable for any reason whatsoever, the Settlement, Settlement Agreement, and any actions to be taken in connection therewith (including this Order and any Judgment entered herein), shall be terminated and become void and of no further force and effect as described in the Settlement Agreement (including, but not limited to paragraph 9.3 of the Settlement Agreement). Any obligations or provisions relating to the refund of Plaintiff's Attorney's Fees, Litigation Expenses, and the Gross Settlement Amount; the payment of Administration, Notice, and Distribution Costs already incurred; and any other obligation or provision in the Settlement Agreement or Supplemental Agreements that expressly pertains to the termination of the Settlement or events to occur after the termination, shall survive termination of the Settlement Agreement and Settlement.

20. All proceedings in the Litigation, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to carry out the terms and conditions of the Settlement, are hereby stayed and suspended until further order of this Court. Pending final approval of the Settlement, Class Representative and all Class Members are barred, enjoined, and restrained from commencing, prosecuting, continuing, or asserting in any forum, either directly or indirectly, on their own behalf or on the behalf of any other person or class, any Released Claim against Released Parties.


21. Entering into or carrying out the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, is not, and shall not be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession by any of the Parties to the Settlement Agreement and shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding by or against any Party in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the

provisions of the Settlement between Defendant and any Class Member(s), the provisions of the Settlement Agreement, or the provisions of any related agreement, order, judgment or release. This Order shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, liability, or the propriety of maintaining this Litigation as a contested class action and Defendant specifically denies any such fault, wrongdoing, breach, liability, and allegation regarding certification for litigation (as opposed to settlement) purposes. This Order shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Class Representative or the Settlement Class that their claims lack merit or that the relief requested in the Litigation is inappropriate, improper, or unavailable. This Order shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, declaration, or waiver by any Party of any arguments, defenses, or claims he, she, or it may have with respect to the Litigation in the event the Settlement is terminated. Moreover, the Settlement and any proceedings taken pursuant to the Settlement are for settlement purposes only.

22. The Court, along with any appellate court with power to review the Court's orders and rulings in the Litigation, hereby retains jurisdiction over this Litigation to consider all further matters arising out of or connected with the Settlement reflected in the Settlement Agreement, including enforcement of the releases provided for in the Settlement Agreement. The Court, along with any appellate court with power to review the Court's orders and rulings in the Litigation, also hereby retains jurisdiction over this Litigation to administer all other matters related to the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and Settlement and the orders of the Court related thereto.

23. The Court may, for good cause shown, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order without further written notice to the Settlement Class.

IT IS SO ORDERED 26th day of November 2019.


Kimberly E. West
United States Magistrate Judge
Eastern District of Oklahoma

APPROVED AS TO FORM

PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL:

/s/Patranell Lewis

Robert N. Barnes, OBA No. 537

rbarnes@barneslewis.com

Patranell Lewis, OBA No. 12279

plewis@barneslewis.com

Emily Nash Kitch, OBA No. 22244

ekitch@barneslewis.com

BARNES & LEWIS, LLP

208 N.W. 60th Street

Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Telephone: (405) 843-0363

Facsimile: (405) 843-0790

Patrick M. Ryan, OBA No. 7864

pryan@ryanwhaley.com

Phillip G. Whaley, OBA No. 13371

pwhaley@ryanwhaley.com

Jason A. Ryan, OBA No. 18824

jryan@ryanwhaley.com

Paula M. Jantzen, OBA No. 20464

pjantzen@ryanwhaley.com

RYAN WHALEY COLDIRON

JANTZEN PETERS & WEBBER PLLC

900 Robinson Renaissance

119 North Robinson

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone: 405-239-6040

Facsimile: 405-239-6766

Michael Burrage, OBA No. 1350

Mburrage@whittenburragelaw.com

WHITTEN BURRAGE

512 N. Broadway Ave., Ste 300

Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Telephone: (405) 516-7800

Facsimile: (405) 516-7859

Lawrence R. Murphy, Jr., OBA No. 17681

larry@smolen.law

611 South Detroit Avenue

Tulsa, OK 74120

Telephone: (918) 777-4529

-and-

DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL:

/s/Collin J. Cox

Collin J. Cox
Robert D. Woods
YETTER COLEMAN LLP
811 Main Street, Suite 4100
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 632-8000
(713) 632-8002 (Facsimile)
ccox@yettercoleman.com
rwoods@yettercoleman.com

Timothy J. Bomhoff
Jodi C. Cole
MCAFEE & TAFT,
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
Two Leadership Square, Tenth Floor
211 N. Robinson Street
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102-7103
(405) 235-9261
(405) 235-0439 (Facsimile)
tim.bomhoff@mcafeetaft.com
jodi.cole@mcafeetaft.com